



\*\*\*\*\*  
\*\*\* TX REPORT \*\*\*  
\*\*\*\*\*

TRANSMISSION OK

|                   |              |
|-------------------|--------------|
| TX/RX NO          | 4151         |
| DEPT. ID          | 0000033      |
| RECIPIENT ADDRESS | 912127889776 |
| DESTINATION ID    |              |
| ST. TIME          | 02/18 12:23  |
| TIME USE          | 00'13        |
| PAGES SENT        | 1            |
| RESULT            | OK           |

**STOLL, GLICKMAN  
& BELLINA** ATTORNEYS  
AT LAW

71 Nevins Street  
Brooklyn, NY 11217

P: (718) 852-3710

F: (718) 852-3586

[www.stollglickman.com](http://www.stollglickman.com)

Law Department of the City of New York  
Attn: ACC Joyce Campbell Priveterre  
100 Church St  
New York, NY 10007  
BY FAX: 212-788-9776

February 18, 2008

Re: Disla v. City of New York et al. 07 CV 4827

Dear ACC Campbell Priveterre-

I have received the "Closing Memorandum" from DOI's Correctional Services Unit. We request that you remove the redactions or explain why the information must be redacted. For example, you have redacted the NYSID numbers for each witness inmate. Since the charged against each do not appear to have been dismissed, there is no reason why that information should be redacted.

In addition, we will be filing our Motion to Compel for the file underlying this memorandum, per the court's order.

I look forward to your response. Thank you.

Sincerely,  
/

**STOLL, GLICKMAN  
& BELLINA** <sup>UP</sup> ATTORNEYS  
AT LAW

71 Nevins Street  
Brooklyn, NY 11217

P: (718) 852-3710

F: (718) 852-3586

www.stollglickman.com

Law Department of the City of New York  
Attn: ACC Joyce Campbell Priveterre  
100 Church St  
New York, NY 10007  
BY FAX: 212-788-9776

February 18, 2008

Re: Disla v. City of New York et al. 07 CV 4827

Dear ACC Campbell Priveterre-

I have received the "Closing Memorandum" from DOI's Correctional Services Unit. We request that you remove the redactions or explain why the information must be redacted. For example, you have redacted the NYSID numbers for each witness inmate. Since the charged against each do not appear to have been dismissed, there is no reason why that information should be redacted.

In addition, we will be filing our Motion to Compel for the file underlying this memorandum, per the court's order.

I look forward to your response. Thank you.

Sincerely,

  
Leo Glickman